



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,134	07/08/2003	Kenichi Sakamoto	501.37526CX1	5988
86636	7590	07/01/2009	[REDACTED]	EXAMINER
BRUNDIDGE & STANGER, P.C.			[REDACTED]	LEVITAN, DMITRY
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD, SUITE 330			[REDACTED]	ART UNIT
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			[REDACTED]	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
			[REDACTED]	MAIL DATE
			[REDACTED]	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/614,134	SAKAMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dmitry Levitan	2416		

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dmitry Levitan. (3)_____.

(2) Carl Brundidge. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 29 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: claim 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chase (US 6,081,524).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explained the invention feature, directed at non-traditional use of layers 2 and 3 in VPN environment, and inquired on use of secondary reference of Chase in the claims rejection.
Examiner suggested to clarify the limitations of the claims and their support in the specification, directed to non-traditional use of the layers, in the Response to the current Office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Dmitry Levitan/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416